

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6496-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2022

Anoop AS

...Petitioner

Versus

Universal Sompo General Insurance Co. Ltd., and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chandan Deep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Revisionist Anoop AS who is claimant in the claim petition filed by him against Krishan Lal Sharma-owner, Ashwani Kumar-driver and Universal Sompo General Insurance Company Ltd., Jammu-insurer of truck tipper No.JK02-AJ-9629 on account of suffering injuries in a road side accident, is feeling aggrieved by the order passed by Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Bathinda allowing respondent No.1-insurance company to join the proceedings.

The grouse of the revisionist is that such permission granted to the insurance company shall result in denovo trial prolonging the proceedings further.

In my view, the Tribunal did not do anything wrong in allowing the insurance company to join the proceedings vide impugned

order because rules of natural justice provide that nobody should be condemned unheard and insurance company deserves to be granted opportunity of presenting its view point, so that the claim petition can be adjudicated upon in a proper and effective manner. However, I find that the costs imposed upon the insurance company are on the lower side, to the tune of Rs.5000/- only. Therefore, while dismissing the revision petition, it is directed that respondent-insurance company would pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- as costs to the claimant in the form of bank draft in his name. The Tribunal is directed to take necessary measures to ensure that the claim petition is disposed of in an expeditious manner.

09.12.2022
sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No