

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Rajiv Srivastava Secretary Staff Selection Commission

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 17.10.2019, in CWP-29903-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-29903-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to objectively consider legal notice dated 19.08.2019, by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and to pass a speaking order, in accordance with law, within 60 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice 19.08.2019, having been rejected vide order dated 15.05.2020, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 15.05.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above as well as

statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.10.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No