

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(219)

CRM-M-4606-2022

Date of Decision : February 08, 2022

Jaybir

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Alisha Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail but as the complainant has not been examined so far, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition, at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that non-examination of the complainant is causing prejudice to the petitioner and therefore, appropriate direction be issued to the trial Court to examine the complainant as next witness as expeditiously as possible.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,
who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view

the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for the grant of the prayer of the petitioner for issuing direction to the trial Court to examine the complainant as next witness as expeditiously as possible.

Though, the present petition has not been pressed for the grant of regular bail but the direction is given to the trial Court that the complainant be examined as expeditiously as possible either on the next date of hearing, which is 14.02.2022 or within a period of one month thereafter.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

February 08, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No