

Pinki

...Petitioner

versus

Ravinder Beniwal

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 02.12.2019, in CWP-34857-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-34857-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim made in legal notice dated 30.09.2019, within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure R/1 dated 12.02.2020, passed by the respondent, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as made in legal notice dated 30.09.2019. Copy thereof has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that speaking order Annexure R/1 was challenged by the petitioner by way of CWP-9500-2021, but the same was dismissed. However, LPA filed against the said order resulted in passing of interim order in favour of the petitioner and on the basis of which, the petitioner has been appointed as extension

lecturer, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.10.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No