

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CRWP-1104-2022

Date of Decision : February 07, 2022

Komal Kumari and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents No. 4 to 8 i.e. parents/relatives of petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that both the petitioners are major in age and have solemnized marriage according to Hindu Rites on 30.01.2022 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of the petitioner No.1 i.e. respondents No. 4 to 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention of this

Court to the representation dated 30.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) made by the petitioners to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, Haryana and respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala, seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana-respondents No.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 30.01.2022 (Annexure P-4), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, appropriate action shall be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 07, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No