

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

**CR-346-2022(O&M)
Date of decision:15.02.2022**

Rajni Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Surjit Kaur through Attorney and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The tenant assails the correctness of the order passed by the Rent Controller while dismissing his application to click photographs from inside of the various other adjoining parts of the building which are alleged to be owned by the landlord.

The Rent Controller, on appreciation of the facts, has held that the tenant is virtually requesting the court to collect evidence on his behalf.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that unless the necessary permission to enter inside the various other parts of the building apart from the tenanted premises is granted, their counsel would be unable to effectively cross-examine the landlord.

In the considered opinion of the Court, the Rent Controller has on the basis of the facts available, drawn a reasonable conclusion. There are more than one ways to prove the availability of other accommodation with the landlord.

In view thereof, no ground to interfere with the impugned order is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 15, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No