

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4301-2022

Date of decision: 07.02.2022

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Due to outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the instant case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This order shall dispose off 6th regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. by way of interim bail for a period of four weeks. The earlier regular bail applications of the petitioner were declined by this Court on 20.02.2019; 16.07.2019; 09.06.2020 ; 19.03.2021 (dismissed as withdrawn) and 28.06.2021.

The primary grounds raked up by the petitioner in the instant relief is that marriage ceremony of son of sister of the petitioner, namely, Virender Kumar is to be solemnized from 05.02.2022 to 11.02.2022 and in view of the relationship of *mama* and *bhanja* his presence at the ceremony is essential and hence the relief in nature.

Upon hearing, no doubt as per the directions of this Court dated 02.02.2022, no report has been submitted by the State as to the veracity of the claim of the petitioner. However, a wedding invitation card has been placed on the records. There is nothing suggestive on the records that the prospective bride-groom happens to be the real *bhanja* of the petitioner and the *inter se* relationship is neither depicted nor corroborative by any documentary evidence. This Court in the earlier orders declining the relief of bail to the petitioner has observed that petitioner happens to be a member of a notorious gang known under the name and style “BSB” Gang and the petitioner was masterminding the gang carrying on murders after hatching conspiracies. All these orders reflect that the petitioner happens to be the principal conspirator and the head of this gang. Trial of the present murder case is pending and if allowed interim bail, there is every

likelihood that the petitioner might influence the witnesses in view of his background of crime. Such an observation has also been made in orders dated 28.01.2022 on a similar relief.

Keeping in view the sad state of plight of the law and order situation that is engulfing this part of Delhi, especially, the tricity and the States of Punjab and Haryana, this Court does not feel inclined to grant even interim bail to the petitioner.

The petition being hopelessly without merits stands dismissed.

07.02.2022

Neha

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No