

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4383-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.05.2022

Rupesh Dadhwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 183 dated 25.12.2021, registered under Sections 420, 417, 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Division No. 2, District Pathankot.

The operative part of the order dated 07.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the mother of the petitioner namely Chanchala Devi, has executed a sale deed dated 29.10.2012, in the name of Neelam, wife of the complainant and on that day, she has not sold more than her share and she was in possession of the same.

List again on 05.05.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 07.03.2022, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ramesh

Kumar, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 07.03.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

05.05.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No