

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 6144 of 2018

Date of Decision: 21.07.2022

Atul Bakashi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Yashvinder Singh, Advocate
for Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. Trial Court, in its discretion, has dismissed the application under Order XI Rule 14 CPC while refusing to direct the defendants to produce sufficient documents. The Court has noticed that the plaintiff, after closing his evidence, has filed the present application when the defendants were leading their evidence.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

July 21, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No