

101 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4184-2022

Date of Decision: 07.02.2022

Sunil @ Bablu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that as the petitioner has done no wrong, coupled with the fact that the main accused, who has been attributed injury due to which Section 307 of the IPC has been invoked, has already been extended the concession of regular bail being a juvenile, the petitioner intends to approach the Investigating Agency to convince them that he has been falsely implicated in the present case, this petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

07.02.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE