

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4427-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 04.03.2022**

PARDEEP @ DOCTOR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present:- Mr. J. P.Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, petitioner seeks regular bail under Section 439 Cr. P. C. in case FIR No. 175 dated 11.10.2020, registered under Sections 20(b)(ii), 21(b) and 29 of NDPS Act, and Section 34 IPC added at Police Station Sector 65, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR and no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner has been indicted on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. Co-accused namely Inderjeet @ Churri, Prem Chand @ Mota, Ankit @ Ankit Kumar, Deepak @ Deepu have already been granted concession of regular bail by the trial Court. It is further submitted that the matter has been investigated, challan stands presented and trial is likely to take some time to conclude, therefore, custody of the petitioner would no longer be required.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent-State would

oppose grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature but is not in a position to dispute the fact that the investigation stands completed and challan has been presented.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also perused case file.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been investigated, co-accused have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court and nothing has been recovered present petitioner. The trial is likely to take time to conclude, the petitioner is in custody from 30.10.2020 and there is no other case pending against him, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars any longer.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered as appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

04.03.2022

Riya

(SANT PARKASH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No