

In virtual Court

CRM-M-4450-2022

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4450-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.02.2022

Jakir @ Suka

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this 2nd petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.215 dated 05.10.2021 under Sections 3/13(1), 5/13(2), 8/13 (3) of Haryana Gauvansh Sarankashan and Samvardhan Act, 2015, registered at Police Station Nagina, District Nuh, Mewat; earlier one was disposed of vide order dated 11.11.2021 passed in CRM-M-47234-2021, with liberty to the petitioner to

surrender before the trial Court within a period of 07 days and move an application for grant of regular bail and the trial Court was directed to decide the same expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, but he is on bail.

Learned State counsel has, however, raised an objection that the petitioner is involved in six cases of similar nature under the same offence.

Brief facts of the case are that on receiving a secret information that co-accused Sahid and petitioner Jakir @ Suka are indulged in the business of cow slaughtering and if a raid is conducted, they can be apprehended. At the time of raid, co-accused Sahid was apprehended and the petitioner succeeded in running away. Two oxen, two cows, one calf and another slaughtered cow, besides 100 kg of beef was recovered from the spot. Two motorcycles were also recovered, one of which was registered in the name of another co-accused Rashid, who has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Sessions Judge, Nuh vide order dated 21.10.2021, whereas co-accused Sahid has been granted the concession of regular bail by the Sessions Judge, Nuh vide order dated 13.12.2021 on completion of the investigation.

As stated above by learned State counsel that the petitioner is a habitual offender and very conveniently, he concealed the factum of pendency of six other FIRs against him under Haryana Gauvansh Sarankashan and Samvardhan Act, 2015.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find no ground to

In virtual Court

CRM-M-4450-2022

-3-

entertain this second petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

03.02.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No