

114-A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-380-2020

Date of Decision :08.08.2022

Ashok Kumar and Ors.

...Petitioners

versus

Dr. Suraj Bhan Kamboj

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aman Joon, Advocate for
Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 24.10.2019, in CWP-31000-2019.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 24.10.2019. reveals that CWP-31000-2019, was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide legal notice dated 10.06.2019, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and to pass a speaking order thereon, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, failing which, the respondent would be liable to pay costs of Rs.25,000/- to the petitioners.
3. Learned DAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure R/1 dated 07.02.2020, rejecting the claim of the petitioners and has also handed over 11 cheques totaling Rs.25,000/- to learned counsel for the petitioners.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of order Annexure R/1 having been passed besides payment of costs of Rs.25,000/-

having been made to the petitioners, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1 dated 07.02.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

08.08.2022

rajesh

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No