

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-4432-2022

Date of Decision: 14.07.2022

Ram Janam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. C.S.Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Ranjit Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.3 dated 04.01.2022 at Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana, under Sections 380/457/411 IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 03.02.2022, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.3 dated 04.01.2022 at Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana, under Sections 380/457/411 IPC.

It is the case of the prosecution that a secret informer furnished information to the police that one Dharminder Kumar and Tehjeeb indulged in thefts of scrap by opening locks of factories during night time and that they were looking for customers to sell the same. Pursuant to receipt of said information, the police installed barricades and started looking for the aforesaid two, who were apprehended while in possession of scrap and in respect of which they could not furnish any information. It is further the case of the prosecution that upon interrogation, the said persons nominated the present petitioner as a buyer of scrap.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the instant case on the basis of an alleged disclosure statement made by co-accused and that in any case there is nothing on record to show that the petitioner had purchased the stolen property knowingly and willingly.

Notice of motion for 14.07.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and that he stands involved in one more case under Section 380 IPC.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 03.02.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

14.07.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**