

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6022-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.08.2022

Harish Chhabra

....Petitioner

Versus

Santosh Kumar Goel

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the respondent

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The petitioner herein is a tenant. He assails the correctness of the order passed by the Rent Controller as well as the appellate authority assessing the rent at the rate of Rs.2,000/- per month. In fact, it is a conditional order permitting the petitioner to pay the arrears of rent, failing which he will be liable to be evicted.

Sh. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate, enters appearance for the respondent and submits that the tenant has already handed over the possession of the tenanted premises after the order of eviction and his statement to this effect has been recorded before the Presiding Officer, Daily Lok Adalat on 25.03.2022. A copy of the order recorded by the Daily Lok Adalat has been produced.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner claims ignorance about the aforesaid development.

Keeping in view the submission of the learned counsel representing the respondent, the revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an application for revival, if the facts, as

stated by the learned counsel representing the respondent, are not correct.

Disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

02.08.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE