

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.4401 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.03.2022

Rahul

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.87 dated 22.04.2021 registered under Section 71 of the Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008, Sections 153-A(1), 504, 505(2) IPC and Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(u) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner while praying for grant of regular bail to the petitioner would contend false implication, while submitting that the petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2021. It is further contended that the investigation has been completed and the challan stands presented. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. The trial is likely to take some time to conclude and therefore, prays for concession of bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State

opposes grant of bail to the petitioner by contending that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature, however, he does not dispute the fact that the investigation has been completed and the challan stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the paper book and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 22.04.2021 and the investigation has been completed and challan stands presented and the fact that the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his execution of adequate personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case.

March 10, 2022
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No