

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CR-6385-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.07.2022

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Janak Singh and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.J.S.Cooner, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 11.07.2022, the following order was passed :-

“The petitioner before this Court is a tenant. He prays for setting aside the order dated 14.05.2015, passed by the Executing Court while dismissing his execution petition. The petitioner prays that the landlord has been ordered to construct the roof of the shop.

On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the landowners has submitted that the petitioner has been ordered to be evicted by the Rent Controller under Section 13 of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973, vide order dated 29.02.2020, which has become final between the parties.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner prays for a short adjournment to verify the aforesaid fact.

Adjourned to 19.07.2022.

To be listed in the urgent list.”

Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that despite his best efforts, he could not contact the petitioner whereas the learned counsel representing the respondents has submitted that the petitioner has handed over the keys of the tenanted premises to the landlord.

In this revision petition, the petitioner assails the correctness of order passed by the Executing Court dismissing the execution petition filed to execute a decree of permanent injunction. The petitioner has himself handed over the possession to the landlord pursuant to an order of ejection.

No further order is required to be passed.

Disposed of.

19.07.2022
anju

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No