

COCN-261-2021

Date of Decision : 01.09.2022

Kamaljeet Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Satish Chandra and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent: Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate for
Mr. Manu K. Kataria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned AAG, Punjab, states that needful in terms of order Annexure P-1, dated 25.08.2011, in CM No.11611-2011 in CWP-18175-2010, has been done, on dismissal of LPA No.1959-2011 and the petitioner granted benefits as per entitlement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of the learned AAG, Punjab, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.09.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No