

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-5870-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.05.2022

Jaswinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Maninder Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. J.S.Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner
 Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The plaintiff in the trial court has filed this revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, assailing the correctness of the order dated 04.07.2018, whereby his evidence was closed. It has been observed by the trial court that for the first time the case was fixed for plaintiff's evidence on 06.01.2015 and he has been granted several opportunities to adduce evidence. The court, after noticing that the plaintiff has been granted a period of more than 3 years, refused to grant any further opportunity. This revision petition has been filed assailing its correctness.

Heard learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper book. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that he wishes to examine Sadar Kanungo, Deputy Commissioner Office, Kapurthala to prove the excerpts. The plaintiff has filed a suit claiming 1/7th share in the property left behind by her father Sh.Chain Singh. The plaintiff from the date of filing of the suit knew her case. She did not produce the

evidence during 3 years and 6 months. Furthermore, the excerpt is only a summary of the revenue record i.e in the form of a report submitted to the court. The primary evidence is the revenue record. Even the plaintiff has failed to produce the primary evidence. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

12.05.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE