

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3260 of 2019 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 16.11.2022**

Surinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. M.K. Bali, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that in terms of the order dated 25.01.2019, the petitioner has already moved a second application under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Since it is made clear in the said order that if the complainant/State filed a fresh application under Section 319 Cr.P.C., at a later stage, the pendency of the present petition will not be construed as a bar, the trial Court will dispose of the said application on merits, in accordance with law.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE**

**16.11.2022**

*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No