

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3756-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.04.2022

GURCHARAN SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Savita Rana, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition the prayer is made for fair, and, taintfree investigations being made into FIR No.927 of 26.12.2019, lodged at Police Station Gharaunda, District Kanral. In the above FIR an offence under Section 346 IPC is embodied.

2. The victim of the offence (supra), is the brother of the petitioner. The learned State counsel, on instructions given to him, by ASI Bahadur Singh submits, that the above victim has *suo motu* joined the company of the petitioner herein. Furthermore, the learned State counsel also submits, that after completion of investigations into FIR (supra), a cancellation report has been filed before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

3. Therefore, the relief asked for, in the petition for a mandamus being issued, upon official respondents concerned, to trace the whereabouts of the brother of the petitioner, does become completely remedied, and, also becomes

rendered infructuous. Moreover, since the investigating officer concerned, has proceeded to also institute, after completion of investigations into FIR (supra), rather a cancellation report before the learned trial Magistrate concerned. Therefore, unless the petitioner objects to its acceptance by the learned Magistrate concerned, the latter may proceed to, in accordance with law make appropriate orders thereons.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.04.2022

ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No