

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1004-2022

Date of Decision:-03.02.2022

TANIYA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Ms. Parminder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case has been heard through video conferencing on account of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

Petitioners have moved this petition under Articles 226/227 of
Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus
directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to provide security to the petitioners,
which is under threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8. Counsel for the
petitioners submitted that representation/complaint dated 8.11.2021
(Annexure P-4) in this regard has also been moved before the concerned
authorities, but no action has been taken as of now.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 at this stage.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana has accepted notice on

behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3.

The counsel for the petitioners submitted that she will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent No.2 to take action on the aforesaid representation.

State counsel submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In the light of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 8.11.2021 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law.

03.02.2022
Gaurav Sorot

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No