

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

CRR-188-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:06.04.2022

Abdul Mazid

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajeev Dev Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to paragraph 19 of the impugned judgment dated 30.10.2018, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Pathankot, whereby the accused has confined his prayer to sentence and sought reduction of the same.

Today learned counsel for the petitioner submits that out of one year of sentence, he has already undergone 08 months of actual sentence and 02 months of remission in all 10 months. He further prayed to reduce the sentence.

H further submits that the petitioner is facing trial for 12 years and the sword is hanging on his head.

Custody certificate has been filed by the State and the same is taken on record. It corroborates the contention made by counsel for the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition is partly allowed to the extent that the sentence is reduced to the sentence already undergone by the petitioner.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

06.04.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No