

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

26

**CM-13-FCARB-2022 in
FAO-CARB-4-2020(O & M)
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022**

Mahadev Rice Mills (Regd.), District Bathinda

....Appellant

Versus

Punjab State Co-operative Supply and Marketing Federation Limited and
another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: None for the appellant

Ms.Ekjot Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.1

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH , J .(ORAL):

CM-13-FCARB-2022

Prayer in the application is for preponing the date of hearing in
the appeal, which is pending before this Court for 17.10.2022.

In view of the reasons stated in the application, the same is
allowed and the appeal is taken on Board for hearing.

FAO-CARB-4-2020(O & M)

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has placed on record a
communication dated 10.05.2022, whereby the District Manager, Markfed,
Bathinda has acknowledged the fact that there has been a settlement
between the parties under the Debt Recovery and Settlement Scheme
2019-20 issued by the Government of Punjab, vide notification No.RP-1
(1024)-2019/2052-2045, dated 17.09.2019. A photocopy of the letter of the

District Manager, Markfed, Bathinda, dated 04.05.2022, addressed to the

Chief Internal Auditor(OTS), Markfed, Chandigarh, has also been placed on record, where this very aspect has been acknowledged.

According to the settlement, M/s Mahadev Rice Mills, Raman has deposited a sum of ₹ 90,66,588/- on 28.04.2022 and Rs.3,05,000/- on 29.04.2022, on account of the settlement under the OTS Scheme and the same amount has been transferred to the Head Office.

In the light of the above, learned counsel for respondent No.1-Markfed submits that the present appeal has been rendered infructuous. The contention of the learned counsel for respondent No.2-Markfed appears to be correct as the counsel for the appellant had not been appearing for the last two occasions when the case had been taken up for consideration i.e. 20.04.2022 and 30.08.2022.

The present appeal is therefore disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, with liberty to the appellant to revive the present appeal in case the facts as have been recorded in the order are not found to be correct.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

12.09.2022
neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No