

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CR-1056-2020

Date of decision: 30.11.2022

Saneela

.....Petitioner

Versus

Stalin

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Case has been called twice, however, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

It would be apposite to reproduce relevant extracts of all the orders right from 13.02.2020, which read as thus:

*“Present: Ms. Rinki K. Singhania, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*On request, adjourned to 31.3.2020.
13.2.2020*

Present: None.

*Case has been called twice, however, there is
no representation on behalf of the petitioner.*

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to
07.09.2022.*

11.05.2022

Present : None.

*Case has been called twice, however, there is
no representation on behalf of the petitioner.*

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to
30.11.2022.*

19.09.2022”

A perusal of above reproduced orders reveal that there was

no representation on behalf of the petitioner on two dates and on one date the case had been adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

None has appeared for the petitioner today despite the case having been called twice. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant revision petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

30.11.2022

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No