

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-4228-2022

Date of Decision: February 11, 2022

Veenu Taneja

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate and
Mr. Anil K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab
(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 02.02.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.02.2022, necessary affidavit has been filed and the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Pushpinder Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that recovery is yet to be effected. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioners makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 02.02.2022, is hereby made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join the investigation and continue the same till the recovery be effected.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

February 11, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No