

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CR-315-2022

Date of decision : 11.02.2022.

Paramjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhjit Singh Lali and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh Sachdev, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 03.01.2022 whereby his application for impleadment as a necessary party in the civil suit has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a tenant and in a suit for separate possession, he would be a necessary party.

Heard.

The suit had been preferred by respondent No.1 against respondents No.2 to 4 for separate possession by way of partition of the building to the extent of one half share. The petitioner is only a tenant and therefore, he has no concern with the ownership of the property. I am in agreement with the reasoning in the impugned order that in a suit for partition, the tenant is neither necessary nor proper party.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

February 11, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No