

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-866-2022
Date of decision: 01.02.2022

Sandeep Kumar
...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana & others
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the competent authority to decide the representation dated 21.01.2022 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a time bound manner.

Prayer is innocuous. Learned State counsel has no serious objection to the prayer.

Given above, the petition is disposed of to the extent that the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat shall decide the representation dated 21.01.2022 (Annexure P-1) within two weeks from today in accordance with law. Petitioner is at liberty to file fresh petition, if need arise.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

February 01, 2022
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No

