

[105] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.293 of 2020
Date of Decision :31.10.2022

Karam Chand ...Petitioner

versus

Sudhir Rajpal, Principal Secretary
to Govt. of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Paras Bahl, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 14.11.2019, in CWP No.28525 of 2019 in case titled as '**Karam Chand versus State of Haryana and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.28525 of 2019 was disposed of vide order dated 14.11.2019 with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 07.06.2019 in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that order (Annexure P-1) dated 14.11.2019 in CWP No.28525 of 2019 in case titled as '**Karam Chand versus State of Haryana and others**' has been complied with by passing of order dated 30.06.2020 and copy of the same has been

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of rejection of the claim of the petitioner as contained in representation dated 07.06.2019, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 30.06.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

[5] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.10.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No