

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(140)

CWP No. 9222 of 2022

Date of Decision : 10.05.2022

Thambu Ram

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has not been granted the arrears of the revised pay for which he is entitled in view of the revised pay scale granted by the 7th Pay Commission w.e.f. 01.01.2006 to 31.01.2009 as well as the arrears of the 3rd ACP, while granting the benefit of revised pay and 3rd ACP. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the petitioner has been found entitled for the said benefit, the arrears have to be come along with the said benefit and the respondents without any valid justification, have not released the same so far, hence the respondents are liable to pay the arrears along with interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4), which has not been decided finally and has been kept

submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a final decision on the same is taken by the respondents keeping in view the settled principle of law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order..

Learned State counsel submits that the legal notice dated 27.07.2021 (Annexure P-4) will be decided finally within a period of eight weeks and in case, petitioner is found entitled for any monetary benefit, the same will also be released to him within a period of four weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in this petition at this stage and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

May 10, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	✓ <i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	✓ <i>Yes/No</i>