270 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3710-2020
Date of Decision: 16th May, 2022

Mahabir Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

****

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed challenging orders dated 13.12.2019 and 15.1.2020 in case No. PC-8 of 2017 arising out of FIR No. 55 dated 24.12.2015 registered under Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at P.S. SVB (H).

The petitioner was a prosecution witness and on his consistent absence before the Trial Court, non-bailable warrants were issued. On 28.1.2020 the following order was passed :-

> "*Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner/complainant wishes to join the proceedings provided some interim protection is granted.*
>
> *Notice of motion for 13.3.2020.*
>
> *In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court on 04.02.2020 i.e. the date already fixed and in the event of his appearance, he shall be granted interim bail on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of trial Court".*

Learned State Counsel on instructions from Inspector Ram

ANURADHA
2022.05.17 18:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Jass submits that the trial has concluded and the accused have been convicted.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the statement made by learned State Counsel, no further directions are called for.

Petition is disposed of.

However, in case of there being any factual discrepancy in the statement made by learned State Counsel, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for recalling of the order.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

16th May, 2022
anuradha

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No

ANURADHA
2022.05.17 18:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document