

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-612-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.11.2022

Sanjeev Malhotra

....Petitioner

Versus

Gurinder Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Case has been called out thrice. Learned counsel representing the petitioner has not come forward to press this revision petition.

As per the office report, the notice issued to the respondent has been received back duly served, however, he also remains unrepresented.

The petitioner's application for directing the respondent to produce CD and device/DVR/UPS/Recorder has been dismissed by the court on the ground that the respondent, who is relying upon the particular electronic evidence, has failed to produce the same. The proceedings in the respondent's application under Order XXXIX Rule 2-A complaining wilful violation of injunction order is pending before the court. Once the respondent, who has relied upon the electronic evidence, has chosen not to prove the same, the opinion formed by the trial court does not require any interference.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

09.11.2022
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No