

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-1735-2022

Date of decision:- 02.02.2022

SUDHA PARASHAR

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

Admittedly, the petitioner filed CWP-25355 of 2021, which was disposed of on 14.12.2021 with the following order:-

“The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order dated 27.10.2014 and notice dated 18.04.2019.

The petitioner claims to be a purchaser from Sh. Ram Lal, vide sale deed dated 26.04.2007. It is alleged that Sh. Ram Lal was 'Dholidar' of village Baselwa. The petitioner claims that the aforesaid property vested in Sh. Ram Lal and therefore, he is the owner thereof.

In the considered view of the Court, the question of ownership of the petitioner viz-a-viz the vesting of the property in Municipal Corporation is required to be adjudicated by a Civil Court. Such a question can only be decided upon the appreciation of material evidence.

Hence, in the considered opinion of the Court, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail an alternative remedy before the regular Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.”

Through this writ petition, the petitioner assails the correctness of order dated 27.10.2014, which was also the subject matter of challenge in the aforesaid writ petition.

In view thereof, no ground to issue the writ is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 02nd, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No