

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

**CR-5599 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.10.2022**

Mandir Baa Teekan Dass and another **..Petitioners**
Versus

Hardev Singh and others **..Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocate, for respondents no.8 to 12.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner's application for correction of the date of a document from 27.11.1946 to 10.03.1946 has been dismissed by the trial court.

It may be noted here that while filing the suit, the plaintiff described the date of the document as 10.03.1946. Subsequently, an application for permission to amend the plaint was allowed vide order dated 29.11.2009, permitting the plaintiff to correct the date of the document from 10.03.1946 to 27.11.1946.

Now the plaintiff once again wants to amend the plaint to claim that the aforesaid document is dated 10.03.1946 and not 27.11.1946.

Admittedly, the aforesaid document is already the part of the record. The Court can always examine the document and look out for its correct date. A suit filed for possession on the basis of the title shall have to be decided on the basis of the evidence led by the respective parties. A mere omission or typographic error while referring to a date of the document would not come in the way of the Court in adjudicating the case appropriately.

With all these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 17, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>