

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

TA-60-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.07.2022

Anuradha @ Annu

....Petitioner

Vs.

Robin Bhatt

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

CM-8662-CII-2022

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and
reply on behalf of respondent along with Annexure R-1 is taken on record.

CM stands disposed of.

TA-60-2022

Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition filed by the
respondent-husband under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending
before the Family Court, Ludhiana to the competent Court of jurisdiction at
Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that on account

of a matrimonial discord, the petitioner has filed a petition/complaint under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at Karnal. It is further submitted that on account of a petition filed by the respondent-husband, the petitioner is facing great difficulty in prosecuting the said case, as there is a distance of about 220 kms from Karnal to Ludhiana.

Learned counsel has further contended that the petitioner is having a minor child, who is living in her care and custody and she is facing difficulty to defend the case, as she has to travel from Karnal to Ludhiana.

Learned counsel has relied upon the judgments **Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay, 2002 SC 396** and **Rajani Kishor Pardeshi Vs. Kishor Babulal Pardeshi, 2005(12) SCC 237**, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that *while deciding the transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue hardships.*”

As per office report, the respondent is served, however, there is no representation on his behalf.

It is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider family condition of the wife, custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and

most important, convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, considering the fact that the petitioner-wife will have to bear the litigation expenses and transportation expenses and in view of the judgments in **Sumita Singh's** case (supra) and **Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's** case (supra) passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court deem it appropriate to allow the present petition, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. The petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, pending before the Family Court, Ludhiana will be transferred to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Karnal.*
- 2. The District Judge, Karnal, will assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction.*
- 3. The Family Court, Ludhiana is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case(s) to District Judge, Karnal.*
- 4. The parties are directed to appear before the District Judge, Karnal within a period of 01 month from today.*

Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

20.07.2022

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No