

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.259

**CRM-M-3751-2022
Date of Decision:05.04.2022**

Sunita and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Parveen Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANT PARKASH, J.

Petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.289 dated 11.07.2019 registered under Sections 328/380/392/419 IPC at Police Station DLF Phase-I, District Gurugram as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (P-2).

While issuing notice of motion on 10.02.2022, this Court directed both the parties to appear before the trial Court to record their statements with regard to genuineness of the compromise and the learned trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court in this regard. The report dated 21.02.2022 of Additional District & Sessions Judge, Gurugram, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance of the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties admit that parties have settled their disputes.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of the Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-2). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, the present petition is allowed. Resultantly, FIR No.289 dated 11.07.2019 registered under Sections 328/380/392/419 IPC at Police Station DLF Phase-I, District Gurugram as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

05.04.2022
mks

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO