

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

252

CWP No.2360 of 2021
Date of Decision: 27.04.2022

Kiran Bala Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply filed, even if the other benefits are not allowed, the petitioner still cannot be denied the benefits of gratuity for the service the petitioner had rendered with the Panchayat Samiti under keeping in view the provisions of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act, 1972').

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the petitioner is demanding gratuity under the Act, 1972, the petitioner has to approach the controlling authority under the said Act qua demand as well as computation of the gratuity in case, the petitioner is entitled for.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the competent authority under the Act, 1972 for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner so as to claim the gratuity in respect of service rendered by him.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

27.04.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No