

COC-269-2020

Date of Decision :18.04.2022

M/s Rajeev Enterprises

...Petitioner

Versus

A.Venu Prasad and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr.R.K.Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral):

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 11.07.2019 in CWP-18593-2019.

[2] A perusal of order dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP-18593-2019 was disposed of by directing the Director, Local Bodies Department Punjab as well as Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Lehragaga, District Sangrur, to examine the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of representation dated 11.03.2019 and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case any outstanding amounts/funds were found due, to release the same to the petitioner without any further delay.

[3] Learned counsel submits that respondent No.3 had passed speaking order dated 11.09.2019 (Annexure P-6), holding the petitioner entitled to payment of Rs.3,13,629/- after deducting amount of Rs.93,631/- from the balance security amount of Rs. 4,07,260/- with said

contends that pursuant to notice to respondent No.3, payment as per entitlement determined vide Annexure P-6 has been released to the petitioner, therefore the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Contempt petition is accordingly *disposed of* as such.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.04.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No