IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2865-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.04.2022

Sukhwinder Singh **...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S. S. Siwach, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. *(Oral)*

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 122 dated 23.05.2019, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Kotwali, Patiala.

Vide order dated, 27.10.2020, the petitioner was granted the interim bail and was directed to join investigation. The said order was passed in the presence of learned counsel for respondent No. 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 27.10.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2022.04.01 16:23
I attest to the accuracy of this
order

further investigation.

Since the aforesaid interim order is continuing and there is no allegation that the petitioner has either misused the same or has extended any threat to the complainant or any other witness, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 27.10.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

01.04.2022 **(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**
Waseem Ansari **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2022.04.01 16:23
I attest to the accuracy of this
order