

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

295

CWP No.1998 of 2020
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Parmanand Attri ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the transfer of the petitioner effected vide order dated 20.01.2020.

While issuing notice of motion, the operation of the said order was stayed and the petitioner continued to work at the station where he was working prior to the passing of the impugned order.

Learned State counsel submits that he has instructions to submit that the transfer order dated 20.01.2020 (Annexure P-6) will not be given effect to any further but liberty be given to transfer the petitioner in accordance with law henceforth.

Keeping in view the above as the impugned order 20.01.2020 (Annexure P-6) will not be implemented qua the petitioner, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

However, the respondents will be at liberty as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

12.09.2022
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No