

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

118

CWP No.1670 of 2022

Date of Decision: 01.02.2022

Dhanpati and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate for the petitioners.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J.(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioners have filed the instant petition seeking quashing of notice dated 10.01.2022 (Annexure P-4) issued by the concerned Estate Officer/HSVP.

Counsel has asserted that the petitioners are currently residing in the house and by virtue of the impugned notice, they have been called upon to vacate the same. It is further contended that the house in question being the only residential premise owned by the petitioners, they ought to be granted some reasonable time to shift out.

We have heard counsel at length and have perused the pleadings on record.

We find that it is the petitioners' own pleaded case that the land in question as also the structure erected thereupon had been acquired by

respondent- HUDA/HSVP for development of Sectors 11-12, Panipat. The award in question had been passed more than two decades back.

The petitioners are clearly encroachers upon the public land.

We do not find any patent infirmity in the impugned notice dated 10.01.2022 (Annexure P-4). No interference in the matter is warranted.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

01.02.2022
D.gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No