

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP-1831-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.02.2022

Karmjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved because even after passing the order dated 10.09.2021 in CWP-21779-2018 titled as Teena Mahajan and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, she has not been awarded one grace mark. Rejection of the request is on the ground that she has already been given one mark for the said question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that direction contained in order dated 10.09.2021 was for all students who attempted the paper in Punjabi language. Thus, no distinction can be made, even though, a candidate may have been given credit for the said question.

The argument cannot be accepted. The purport and intent behind passing the said order was to give benefit to those students who were mis-led by the answer being a reproduction of the answer in English in Punjabi script. The petitioner was not mis-led and gave the correct answer and she has accordingly been given credit therefor. Thus, she cannot be given the same benefit twice.

For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

11.02.2022
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No