

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

103

CWP-1849-2020

Date of Decision :22.07.2022

Sant Kumar Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners, who are working on the post of clerk only get higher grade pay while implementing the Assured Career Progression Scheme whereas, the other cadres including the teaching cadre get higher functional pay scale. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non-teaching staff is being discriminated for the grant of same relief and two different methods are being adopted by the respondents to remove the stagnation, which is not correct.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of serving a legal notice dated 11.02.2019 (Annexure P/4) upon them, which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 11.02.2019 (Annexure P/4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in their legal notice, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 11.02.2019 (Annexure P/4) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed off.

July 22, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No