

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**CRM-M-3883-2022
Date of decision: 03.02.2022**

SUNNY BANGA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed seeking concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.169 dated 01.10.2021 registered under Section 379-B and 411 of the IPC registered at Police Station Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is in custody since 01st October, 2021. The charges in the case have yet not been framed. The conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time and his continued incarceration in custody, would not further the ends of justice. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a young boy of 29 years and has been falsely implicated in the above case.

Ms. A.K. Khurana, learned DAG Punjab, submits that the petitioner was apprehended on 01st October, 2021, and an amount of Rs.26,000/- along with the motorcycle, that was used in the crime in question, were recovered from the

petitioner. It is, however, not disputed that charges in the case have not yet been framed against the petitioner.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the period of custody undergone and the fact that investigation in the case is complete, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

February 03, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>