

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.2557-2019
Reserved on: 19.09.2022
Pronounced on: 26.09.2022

Bhim SainPetitioner

Vs.

Cholamandalam Investment & Finance Company Limited and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

Criminal Complaint	No. 5692 of 2017, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, District Chandigarh, decided on 16.08.2018
Criminal Appeal	No.536 of 2018 CNR No. CHCH010078632018 Date of Order: 21.11.2018

1. Aggrieved by the dismissal of the application seeking extension of time to furnish bonds, the accused had come up before this court.
2. The respondent’s counsel, who is representing the respondent/complainant in this petition, since beginning, stated at Bar that the matter stands compromised between the parties, and as such she would have no objection in the case this petition is allowed and the impugned order quashed.
3. Since the dispute is squarely private in nature, as such given the complainant’s stand, the petition is allowed, and the impugned order dated 21.11.2018, passed in Cr.A No. 536 of 2018, is quashed and set aside as prayed for.
4. It is clarified that if the parties believe that any further directions are required to be passed in the dispute between them or the said complaint, then it shall be permissible to file a fresh petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. with such prayers; however, it shall not be

permissible to file provisional or interim applications/CMP in this petition seeking the said relief.

Petition allowed in the terms mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

26.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.