

CRWP-832-2022
Date of decision-31.01.2022

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Through this criminal writ petition, the petitioners are praying for issuance of directions to the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent Nos.4 to 7, as the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 25.01.2022 against their wishes.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the petitioners solemnized marriage on 25.01.2022 at Gurdaawara Dashmesh Pitta Paatshahi Dasvi, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali. In this regard the photographs (Annexure P-3) are appended with the petition. She submits that now petitioners are facing threats from the family members of petitioner No.1-Gurwinder Kaur (parents and other relatives), who are against the alliance of the petitioners. He has further argued that the petitioners have apprehension that private respondents will cause harm to them and will implicate them in a false case, therefore, a representation dated 24.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) has already been given to Commissioner of Police, Amritsar, but no action has been taken upon the same, so they have approached this Court with a prayer to provide them protection. She prays that appropriate

direction be issued.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed that the father of girl (petitioner No.1) has already given a complaint to the police against the petitioner No.2 and his parents. The stand of the petitioners that the private respondents would implicate the petitioner No.2 and his family members in a case cannot be construed as a threat as they are also free to avail their remedy in law.

Apart from it, the petition contains bald averments regarding apprehension of threat allegedly faced by the petitioners, as the same is not supported with any convincing material. The representation dated 24.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) filed by petitioners simply contains their claim of marriage performed against the wishes of the private respondents and the averment regarding danger to their life also lacks material particulars, as it does not at all reveal the manner and mode of alleged threat extended to the petitioners.

Resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

31.01.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No