

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-155-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.07.2022

Vinay

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG Haryana.

VIVEK PURI J.

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 5th January 2019 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat in Sessions case No. 511/17, vide which the application under Section 311 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for recall of the prosecution witnesses was declined.

Learned State Counsel on the instructions of ASI Manisha has contended that the trial of the case has culminated in conviction of the petitioner and the petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the categorical assertion made by learned State counsel, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, as none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner, he shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition, within a period of 2 months, if the assertion of learned State counsel is found to be factually incorrect.

12.07.2022
Ajay Goswami

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No