

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2836-2022(O&M)  
Date of Decision:15.02.2022**

**Paramjit Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate and  
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner seeks a mandamus directing the respondents to accept the development charges qua Plot No.29.

Having heard counsel at length and from the pleadings on record we find that certain questions of fact arise. It is the assertion made in the petition that private respondent No.8, in connivance with the official respondents, has made certain interpolations and fudging of the records and thereby reflecting the ownership of the petitioner to be of Plot No. 27 instead of Plot No.29.

We are of the considered view that such issue raises a disputed question of fact and for the determination of which evidence may have to be led.

Under such circumstances and without opining on the merits of the case, writ petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the

petitioner to avail of the alternate civil remedies as per law.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE

( LALIT BATRA )  
JUDGE

15.02.2022  
sunita

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |