

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 205

CRM-M-3706-2022

Date of decision : 30.05.2022

Manjeet Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Himanshu Mehta, Advocate, for
 Ms.Meenakshi Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

 Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

 None for the complainant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.262 dated 28.09.2019 registered under Sections 376, 452, 506 IPC and Section 66(E) of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

On 31.01.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“ Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 262 dated 28.09.2019 under Sections 376,, 452, 506 IPC and Section 66(E) of Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa.

Learned counsel contends that a false case has been foisted upon the petitioner which is evident from the fact that during the investigation he was found innocent and was placed under Column No.2. He further contends that after the examination-in-chief of the complainant an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. was moved which was allowed and it was then that the petitioner was summoned as an additional accused to face trial. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has a genuine apprehension that as and when he appears before the trial court on the next date of hearing, he would be taken into custody.

Notice of motion for 30.05.2022.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court concerned within 10 days from today. On his appearance, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Copy of the order be produced on the next date of hearing.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has appeared before the Trial Court and on such appearance has been admitted to ad interim bail to the Trial Court's satisfaction.

In view of the above statement made by learned State counsel as also because the petitioner has shown his *bonafide* by surrendering before the Trial Court, order of this Court dated 31.01.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

30.05.2022

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No