

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4713-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.08.2022

Gurinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Kultar Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. A.S.Khinda, Advocate for the petitioner
 Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for respondent no.1
 Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.3 and 4

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

An application filed for permission to lead secondary evidence in order to prove the alleged agreement to sell in a suit for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell has been allowed.

Heard learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner (plaintiff) claims that the agreement to sell set up by the defendant is ante dated.

This aspect of the matter can be examined only after the parties have led evidence. At this stage, the court has only granted permission to permit the defendant to lead secondary evidence. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

23.08.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**